

Our Ref: ID 1883 Your Ref: 14059

22 March 2023

Attention: CEO Wollondilly Shire Council PO Box 21 PICTON NSW 2571

email: council@wollondilly.nsw.gov.au cc: rodney.whalan1@one.ses.nsw.gov.au

Dear Sir/Madam,

Draft Planning Proposal for Brooks Point Road Appin

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment on the Draft Planning Proposal for 10 & 20 Brooks Point Road, Appin NSW 2560 (Lots 1, 3 & 4 in DP 249446 & Lot 1 in DP584515). It is understood that the planning proposal seeks to:

 Make amendments to the Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011 (WLEP) to rezone the site from its current rural landscape zone to permit low and medium density housing with a mix of residential land and a public reserve.

The NSW State Emergency Service (NSW SES) is the agency responsible for dealing with floods, storms and tsunami in NSW. This role includes, planning for, responding to and coordinating the initial recovery from floods. As such, the NSW SES has an interest in the public safety aspects of the development of flood prone land, particularly the potential for changes to land use to either exacerbate existing flood risk or create new flood risk for communities in NSW.

The Brooks Point Road site is located in the upper part of the Nepean River catchment. The proposal lies between Kennedy Creek and Ousedale Creek. The NSW SES offers the following advice, recognising that the Brooks Point Road, Appin site has not been identified as being in flood prone land.

The consent authority will need to ensure that the planning proposal is considered against the relevant Ministerial Section 9.1 Directions, including 4.1 – Flooding and is consistent with the NSW Flood Prone Land Policy as set out in the NSW Floodplain Development Manual, 2005 (the Manual). Attention is drawn to the following principles outlined in the Manual which are of importance to the NSW SES role as described above:

Zoning should not enable development that will result in an increase in risk to life, health or property of people living on the floodplain.





- Risk assessment should consider the full range of flooding, including events up to the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) and not focus only on the 1% AEP flood.
- Risk assessment should have regard to flood warning and evacuation demand on existing and future access/egress routes. Consideration should also be given to the impacts of localised flooding on evacuation routes.

The proposal lies between two parallel creek systems (Kennedy Creek and Ousedale Creek) and overland flows drain west into Ousedale Creek. Evacuation routes in Appin may become affected by flash flooding where depths and velocities of water close roads, and culverts and stormwater pipes become blocked. Wollondilly Shire Flood Study, Broad Scale Assessment (2021) identified a culvert in Appin Street and recurring water pooling on the road at Wilton Road, Appin. Kennedy Creek culverts beneath Church Street at Appin is a proposed drainage infrastructure project identified by Wollondilly Shire Council.

Critical storm duration modelling shows Appin Road is affected by 6hour storm duration (10% AEP) and was impacted in 2016 and 2020 by 1% AEP rainfall.

- In the context of future development, self-evacuation of the community should be achievable in a manner which is consistent with the NSW SES's principles for evacuation.
- Future development must not conflict with the NSW SES's flood response and evacuation strategy for the existing community.
- Evacuation must not require people to drive, or walk through flood water.
- Development strategies relying on deliberate isolation or sheltering in buildings surrounded by flood water are not equivalent, in risk management terms, to evacuation. 'Shelter in place' strategy is not an endorsed flood management strategy by the NSW SES for future development. Such an approach is only considered suitable to allow existing dwellings that are currently at risk to reduce their risk, without increasing the number of people subject to such risk. The flood evacuation constraints in an area should not be used as a reason to justify new development by requiring the new development to have a suitable refuge above the PMF. Allowing such development will increase the number of people exposed to the effects of flooding. Other secondary emergencies such as fires and medical emergencies may occur in buildings isolated by floodwater. During flooding it is likely that there will be a reduced capacity for the relevant emergency service agency to respond in these times. Even relatively brief periods of isolation, in the order of a few hours, can lead to personal medical emergencies that have to be responded to.



- Development strategies relying on an assumption that mass rescue may be possible where evacuation either fails or is not implemented are not acceptable to the NSW SES.
- The NSW SES is opposed to the imposition of development consent conditions requiring private flood evacuation plans rather than the application of sound land use planning and flood risk management.
- NSW SES is opposed to development strategies that transfer residual risk, in terms of emergency response activities, to NSW SES and/or increase capability requirements of the NSW SES.
- Consent authorities should consider the cumulative impacts any development will have on risk to life and the existing and future community and emergency service resources in the future.

You may also find the following Guidelines, originally developed for the Hawkesbury Nepean Valley and available on the NSW SES website useful:

- Reducing Vulnerability of Buildings to Flood Damage
- Designing Safer Subdivisions
- Managing Flood Risk Through Planning Opportunities

Please feel free to contact me via email at rra@ses.nsw.gov.au should you wish to discuss any of the matters raised in this correspondence. The NSW SES would also be interested in receiving future correspondence regarding the outcome of this referral via this email address.

Yours sincerely

Gillian Webber
Planning Coordinator, Emergency Risk Management
NSW State Emergency Service